arXiv:math/0701609v1 [math.RA] 22 Jan 2007

DEFINING RELATIONS OF MINIMAL DEGREE OF THE TRACE ALGEBRA OF 3×3 MATRICES

FRANCESCA BENANTI AND VESSELIN DRENSKY

ABSTRACT. The trace algebra C_{nd} over a field of characteristic 0 is generated by all traces of products of d generic $n \times n$ matrices, $n, d \geq 2$. Minimal sets of generators of C_{nd} are known for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ for any d as well as for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ and $d = 2$. The defining relations between the generators are found for $n = 2$ and any d and for $n = 3$, $d = 2$ only. Starting with the generating set of C_{3d} given by Abeasis and Pittaluga in 1989, we have shown that the minimal degree of the set of defining relations of C_{3d} is equal to 7 for any $d \geq 3$. We have determined all relations of minimal degree. For $d = 3$ we have also found the defining relations of degree 8. The proofs are based on methods of representation theory of the general linear group and easy computer calculations with standard functions of Maple.

INTRODUCTION

Let K be any field of characteristic 0. All vector spaces, tensor products, algebras considered in this paper are over K. Let $X_i = \left(x_{pq}^{(i)}\right)$, $p, q = 1, \ldots, n$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, be d generic $n \times n$ matrices. We consider the pure (or commutative) trace algebra C_{nd} generated by all traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k})$. The algebra C_{nd} coincides with the algebra of invariants of the general linear group $GL_n = GL_n(K)$ acting by simultaneous conjugation on d matrices of size $n \times n$. General results of invariant theory of classical groups imply that the algebra C_{nd} is finitely generated. Theory of PI-algebras provides upper bounds for the generating sets of the algebras C_{nd}. The Nagata-Higman theorem states that the polynomial identity $x^n = 0$ implies the identity $x_1 \cdots x_N = 0$ for some $N = N(n)$. If N is minimal with this property, then C_{nd} is generated by traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k})$ of degree $k \leq N$. This estimate is sharp if d is sufficiently large. A description of the defining relations of C_{nd} is given by the Razmyslov-Procesi theory [17, 16] in the language of ideals of the group algebras of symmetric groups. For a background on the algebras of matrix invariants see, e.g. [12, 10] and for computation aspects of the theory see [9].

Explicit minimal sets of generators of C_{nd} and the defining relations between them are found in few cases only. It is well known that, in the Nagata-Higman theorem, $N(2) = 3$, $N(3) = 6$, and $N(4) = 10$, which gives bounds for the degrees of the generators of the algebras C_{2d}, C_{3d}, and C_{4d}, respectively. Nevertheless, the defining relations of C_{nd} are explicitly given for $n = 2$ and any d, see e.g. [10] for

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16R30; Secondary: 16S15, 13A50, 15A72.
Key words and phrases. generic matrices, matrix invariants, trace algebras, defining relations.
The research of the first author was partially supported by MIUR, Italy.
The research of the second author was partially supported by Grant MI-1503/2005 of the Bulgarian National Science Fund.

details, and for $n = 3$, $d = 2$, see the comments below. For $n = 3$, $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$ and $d \geq 2$, nothing is known about the concrete form of the defining relations with respect to fixed minimal systems of generators.

Teranishi [18] found a system of 11 generators of C_{32}. It follows from his description that, with respect to these generators, C_{32} can be defined by a single relation of degree 12. The explicit (but very complicated) form of the relation was found by Nakamoto [15], over $\mathbb{Z}$, with respect to a slightly different system of generators. Abeasis and Pittaluga [1] found a system of generators of C_{3d}, for any $d \geq 2$, in terms of representation theory of the symmetric and general linear groups, in the spirit of its usage in theory of PI-algebras. Aslaksen, Drensky and Sadikova [3] gave the defining relation of C_{32} with respect to the set found in [1]. Their relation is much simpler than that in [15]. For C_{42}, a set of generators was found by Teranishi [18, 19] and a minimal set by Drensky and Sadikova [11], in terms of the approach in [1]. Djoković [7] gave another minimal set of 32 generators of C_{42} consisting of traces of products only. He found also a minimal set of 173 generators of C_{52}.

As usually in invariant theory, the determination of generators and defining relations is simpler, if one has some additional information about the algebras of invariants. In particular, it is very useful to know the Hilbert (or Poincaré) series of the algebra. Again, the picture is completely clear for $n = 2$. The only other cases, when the Hilbert series are explicitly given, are $n = 3$, $d = 2$ (Teranishi [18]) and $d = 3$ (Berele and Stembridge [5]), $n = 4$, $d = 2$ (Teranishi [19] (with some typos) and corrected by Berele and Stembridge [5]). Recently Djoković [7] has calculated also the Hilbert series of C_{52} and C_{62}.

The minimal generating set of C_{3d} given in [1] consists of

$$g = g(d) = \frac{1}{240} d(5d^5 + 19d^4 - 5d^3 + 65d^2 + 636)$$

homogeneous trace polynomials $u_1, \ldots, u_g$ of degree ≤ 6. In more detail, the number of polynomials u_i of degree k is g_k, and

$$g_1 = d, g_2 = \frac{1}{2}(d+1)d, g_3 = \frac{1}{3}d(d^2+2), g_4 = \frac{1}{24}(d+1)d(d-1)(5d-6),$$

$$g_5 = \frac{1}{30}d(d-1)(d-2)(3d^2+4d+6), g_6 = \frac{1}{48}(d+2)(d+1)d(d-1)(d^2-3d+4).$$

Hence C_{3d} is isomorphic to the factor algebra $K[y_1, \ldots, y_g]/I$. Defining $\deg(y_i) = \deg(u_i)$, the ideal I is homogeneous. For $d = 3$, surprisingly, the comparison of the Hilbert series of $C_{33} \cong K[y_1, \ldots, y_g]/I$ given in [5], with the Hilbert series of $K[y_1, \ldots, y_g]$, gives that any homogeneous minimal system of generators of the ideal I contains no elements of degree ≤ 6, three elements of degree 7 and 30 elements of degree 8. The purpose of the present paper is to find the defining relations of minimal degree for C_{3d} and any $d \geq 3$, with respect to the generating set in [1]. It has turned out that the minimal degree of the relations is equal to 7 for all $d \geq 3$, and there are a lot of relations of degree 7. (Compare with the single relation of degree 12 in the case $d = 2$.) The dimension of the vector space of relations of degree 7 is equal to

$$r_7 = r_7(d) = \frac{2}{7!}(d+1)d(d-1)(d-2)(41d^3 - 86d^2 + 114d - 360).$$

For $d = 3$ we have computed also the homogeneous relations of degree 8. The defining relations are given in the language of representation theory of GL_d. There

is a simple algorithm which gives the explicit form of all relations of degree 7, and of degree 8 for $d = 3$. The proofs involve basic representation theory of GL_d and develop further ideas of [3, 11] and our recent paper [4] combined with computer calculations with Maple. In the case $d = 3$ we have used essentially the Hilbert series of C_{33} from [5] which has allowed to reduce the number of computations. Our methods are quite general and we believe that they can be successfully used for further investigation of generic trace algebras and other algebras close to them.

1. Preliminaries

In what follows, we fix $n = 3$ and $d \geq 3$ and denote by $X_1, \dots, X_d$ the d generic 3×3 matrices. Often, when the value of d is clear from the context, we shall denote C_{3d} by C. It is a standard trick to replace the generic matrices with generic traceless matrices. We express X_i in the form

$$X_i = \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{tr}(X_i)e + x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where e is the identity 3×3 matrix and x_i is a generic traceless matrix. Then

$$C_{3d} \cong K[\mathrm{tr}(X_1), \dots, \mathrm{tr}(X_d)] \otimes C_0, \tag{1}$$

where the algebra C_0 is generated by the traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})$, $k \leq 6$. Hence the problem for the defining relations of C can be replaced by a similar problem for C_0.

As in the case of "ordinary" generic matrices, without loss of generality we may replace x_1 by a generic traceless diagonal matrix. Changing the variables $x_{pp}^{(i)}$, we may assume that

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(x_{11}^{(1)} + x_{22}^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$x_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(i)} & x_{12}^{(i)} & x_{13}^{(i)} \\ x_{21}^{(i)} & x_{22}^{(i)} & x_{23}^{(i)} \\ x_{31}^{(i)} & x_{32}^{(i)} & -(x_{11}^{(i)} + x_{22}^{(i)}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 2, \dots, d. \tag{3}$$

Till the end of the paper we fix these d generic traceless matrices. Let $C_0^+ = \omega(C_0)$ be the augmentation ideal of C_0. It consists of all trace polynomials $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in C_0$ without constant terms, i.e., satisfying the condition $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$. Any minimal system of generators of C_0 lying in C_0^+ forms a basis of the vector space C_0^+ modulo $(C_0^+)^2$. Conversely, if a system of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_g$ forms a basis of C_0^+ modulo $(C_0^+)^2$, and each f_i is a linear combination of traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})$ then it is a minimal generating system of C_0. The algebra $C = C_{3d}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded assuming that the trace $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k})$ is of degree k, and this grading is inherited by C_0. Similarly, C (and also C_0) has a more precise $\mathbb{Z}^d$-multigrading induced by the condition that $X_1, \dots, X_d$ are, respectively, of multidegree $(1, 0, \dots, 0, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. The considerations below, stated for the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading hold also for the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-multigrading. The numbers $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_6$ of elements of degree $1, 2, \dots, 6$, respectively, in any homogeneous minimal system of generators

is an invariant of C. Any homogeneous minimal system $\{f_1, \dots, f_h\}$ of generators of C_0 consists of $g_2, \dots, g_6$ elements of degree $2, \dots, 6$, and $h = g_2 + \cdots + g_6$. Hence

$$C_0 \cong K[z_1, \dots, z_h]/J,$$

with isomorphism defined by $z_j + J \to f_j$, $j = 1, \dots, h$. If $u_j(z_1, \dots, z_h)$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, is a system of generators of the ideal J, then $u_j(f_1, \dots, f_h) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, is a system of defining relations of C_0 with respect to the system of generators $\{f_1, \dots, f_h\}$. Any homogeneous system of polynomials in J (where, by definition $\deg(z_j) = \deg(f_j)$) which forms a basis of the vector space J modulo the subspace $JK[z_1, \dots, z_h]^+$, is a minimal system of generators of the ideal J. We denote by r_k the number of elements of degree k in such a system. Clearly, r_k is the dimension of the homogeneous component of degree k of the vector space $J/JK[z_1, \dots, z_h]^+$.

Now we summarize the necessary background on representation theory of GL_d. We refer e.g. to [14] for general facts and to [8] for applications in the spirit of the problems considered here. All GL_d-modules which appear in this paper are completely reducible and are direct sums of irreducible polynomial modules. The irreducible polynomial representations of GL_d are indexed by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$, $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$. We denote by $W(\lambda) = W_d(\lambda)$ the corresponding irreducible GL_d-module, assuming that $W_d(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda_{d+1} \neq 0$. The group GL_d acts in the natural way on the d-dimensional vector space $K \cdot x_1 + \cdots + K \cdot x_d$ and this action is extended diagonally on the free associative algebra $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$.

The module $W(\lambda) \subset K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ is generated by a unique, up to a multiplicative constant, homogeneous element w_λ of degree λ_j with respect to x_j, called the highest weight vector of $W(\lambda)$. It is characterized by the following property.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ and let Δ_{ij} be the derivation of $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ defined by $\Delta_{ij}(x_j) = x_i$, $\Delta_{ij}(x_k) = 0$, $k \neq j$. If $w(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ is multihomogeneous of degree $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$, then $w(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a highest weight vector for some $W(\lambda)$ if and only if $\Delta_{ij}(w(x_1, \dots, x_d)) = 0$ for all $i < j$. Equivalently, $w(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a highest weight vector for $W(\lambda)$ if and only if*

$$g_{ij}(w(x_1, \dots, x_d)) = w(x_1, \dots, x_d), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq d,$$

where g_{ij} is the linear operator of the d-dimensional vector space which sends x_j to $x_i + x_j$ and fixes the other x_k.

Proof. The lemma is a partial case of a result by De Concini, Eisenbud, and Procesi [6], see also Almkvist, Dicks, and Formanek [2]. In the version which we need, the first part of the lemma was established by Koshlukov [13]. The equivalence follows from the fact that the kernel of any locally nilpotent derivation Δ coincides with the fixed points of the related exponential automorphism $\exp(\Delta) = 1 + \Delta/1! + \Delta^2/2! + \cdots$, and $g_{ij} = \exp(\Delta_{ij})$. □

If W_i, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are m isomorphic copies of the GL_d-module $W(\lambda)$ and $w_i \in W_i$ are highest weight vectors, then the highest weight vector of any submodule $W(\lambda)$ of the direct sum $W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$ has the form $\xi_1 w_1 + \cdots + \xi_m w_m$ for some $\xi_i \in K$. Any m linearly independent highest weight vectors can serve as a set of generators of the GL_d-module $W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$.

It is convenient to work with an explicit copy of $W(\lambda)$ in $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ obtained in the following way. Let

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}$$

be the standard polynomial of degree k. (Clearly,

$$s_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 = [x_1, x_2]$$

is the commutator of x_1 and x_2.) If the lengths of the columns of the diagram of λ are, respectively, $k_1, \dots, k_p$, $p = \lambda_1$, then

$$w_\lambda = w_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}) = s_{k_1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}) \cdots s_{k_p}(x_1, \dots, x_{k_p}) \tag{4}$$

is the highest weight vector of a submodule $W(\lambda) \subset K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$. Sometimes we shall write $w_\lambda = w_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_d)$, even when $k_1 < d$.

Recall that the λ-tableau

$$T = (a_{ij}), \quad a_{ij} \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i,$$

is semistandard if its entries do not decrease from left to right in rows and increase from top to bottom in columns. The following lemma gives a basis of the vector subspace $W(\lambda) \subset K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$. It also provides an algorithm to construct this basis.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $T = (a_{ij})$ be a semistandard λ-tableau such that its i-th row contains $b_{i,i}$ times i, $b_{i,i+1}$ times $i+1$, ..., $b_{i,d}$ times d. Let $w(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be the highest weight vector of $W(\lambda) \subset K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ and let*

$$u_T(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1d}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2d}, \dots, x_{dd})$$

be the multihomogeneous component of degree b_{iq} in x_{iq}, $q = i, i+1, \dots, d$, of the polynomial

$$w(x_{11} + x_{12} + \cdots + x_{1d}, x_{22} + \cdots + x_{2d}, \dots, x_{dd}).$$

When T runs on the set of semistandard λ-tableaux, the polynomials

$$v_T = v_T(x_1, \dots, x_d) = u_T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, x_2, \dots, x_d, \dots, x_d)$$

form a basis of the vector space $W(\lambda)$.

Proof. By standard Vandermonde arguments, the polynomial $u_T(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{dd})$ is a linear combination of some $w(\sum \alpha_{1j}x_{1j}, \dots, \sum \alpha_{dj}x_{dj})$, $\alpha_{ij} \in K$. Hence $v_T(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a linear combination of $w(\sum \alpha_{1j}x_j, \dots, \sum \alpha_{dj}x_j)$ and belongs to the GL_d-module $W(\lambda)$ generated by $w(x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to consider the case when $W(\lambda)$ is generated by the element (4). The polynomial $w(x_{11}+x_{12}+\cdots+x_{1d}, x_{22}+\cdots+x_{2d}, \dots, x_{dd})$ is a product of evaluations

$$s_{k_j}(x_{11} + x_{12} + \cdots + x_{1d}, x_{22} + \cdots + x_{2d}, \dots, x_{k_j,k_j} + \cdots + x_{k_j,d})$$

of standard polynomials. Hence $u_T(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{dd})$ is a linear combination of monomials starting with $x_{\sigma(1),q_1} \cdots x_{\sigma(k_1),q_{k_1}}$. We order the variables by $x_1 > \cdots > x_d$ and consider the lexicographic order of $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$. The first column of the semistandard tableau T contains $a_{11} < \cdots < a_{k1}$ and in each row $a_{i1} \le a_{ij}$ for all $j = 2, \dots, \lambda_i$. This easily implies that the leading monomial of v_T starts with $x_{a_{11}}x_{a_{21}} \cdots x_{a_{k_1 1}}$. Hence the first k_1 variables in the leading monomial are indexed by the entries of the first column of the tableau. Continuing in the same way, we obtain that the next k_2 variables in the leading monomial are indexed with

the entries of the second row, etc. Hence, for fixed λ, the leading monomial of v_T determines completely the tableau T, and the polynomials v_T are linearly independent. Since $W(\lambda)$ has a basis which is in 1-1 correspondence with the semistandard λ-tableaux, and the number of v_T is equal to the number of semistandard tableaux, we obtain that the polynomials v_T form a basis of $W(\lambda)$. $\square$

If W is a GL_d-submodule or a factor module of $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d\rangle$, then W inherits the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-grading of $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d\rangle$. Recall that the Hilbert series of W with respect to its $\mathbb{Z}^d$-multigrading is defined as the formal power series

$$H(W, t_1, \ldots, t_d) = \sum_{k_i \geq 0} \dim(W^{(k_1,\ldots,k_d)}) t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_d^{k_d},$$

with coefficients equal to the dimensions of the homogeneous components $W^{(k_1,\ldots,k_d)}$ of degree $(k_1, \ldots, k_d)$. It plays the role of the GL_d-character of W: If

$$W \cong \sum_{\lambda} m(\lambda) W(\lambda),$$

then

$$H(W, t_1, \ldots, t_d) = \sum_{\lambda} m(\lambda) S_\lambda(t_1, \ldots, t_d),$$

where $S_\lambda = S_\lambda(t_1, \ldots, t_d)$ is the Schur function associated with λ, and the multiplicities $m(\lambda)$ are determined by $H(W, t_1, \ldots, t_d)$. One of the possible ways to introduce Schur functions is via Vandermonde-like determinants. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d)$, define the determinant

$$V(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) = \begin{vmatrix} t_1^{\mu_1} & t_2^{\mu_1} & \cdots & t_d^{\mu_1} \\ t_1^{\mu_2} & t_2^{\mu_2} & \cdots & t_d^{\mu_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_1^{\mu_d} & t_2^{\mu_d} & \cdots & t_d^{\mu_d} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then the Schur function is

$$S_\lambda(t_1, \ldots, t_d) = \frac{V(\lambda_1 + d - 1, \lambda_2 + d - 2, \ldots, \lambda_{d-1} + 1, \lambda_d)}{V(d - 1, d - 2, \ldots, 1, 0)}.$$

The dimension of $W(\lambda)$ is given by the formula

$$\dim(W_d(\lambda)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i}{j - i}. \tag{5}$$

The decomposition of the tensor product $W_d(\lambda) \otimes W_d(\mu)$ of two irreducible GL_d-modules $W_d(\lambda)$ and $W_d(\mu)$ is given by the Littlewood-Richardson rule. We shall need it in one case only:

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes W_3(2^2) \cong W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4, 3, 1) \oplus W_3(4, 2^2). \tag{6}$$

But even in this case we can check the equality (6) verifying directly the equality of symmetric functions

$$H(W_3(2^2) \otimes W_3(2^2), t_1, t_2, t_3) = S^2_{(2^2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$$
$$= S_{(4^2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) + S_{(4,3,1)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) + S_{(4,2^2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

In all other cases it will be sufficient to use the Young rule which is a partial case of the Littlewood-Richardson one:

$$W_d(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) \otimes W_d(p) \cong \sum W_d(\lambda_1 + p_1, \ldots, \lambda_d + p_d), \tag{7}$$

where the sum runs on all nonnegative integers $p_1, \dots, p_d$ such that $p_1 + \dots + p_d = p$ and $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} + p_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, and its dual version

$$W_d(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \otimes W_d(1^p) \cong \sum W_d(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1, \dots, \lambda_d + \varepsilon_d), \tag{8}$$

where the sum is on all partitions $(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1, \dots, \lambda_d + \varepsilon_d)$ such that $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$, $\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_d = p$.

In the q-th symmetric tensor power

$$W^{\otimes_s q} = \underbrace{W \otimes_s \dots \otimes_s W}_{q \text{ times}}$$

of the GL_d-module W, we identify the tensors $w_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\sigma(q)}$ and $w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_q$, $\sigma \in S_q$. If $W = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$, then

$$W^{\otimes_s q} = \bigoplus W_1^{\otimes_s q_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W_k^{\otimes_s q_k}, \quad q_1 + \dots + q_k = q. \tag{9}$$

There is no general combinatorial rule for the decomposition of the symmetric tensor powers of $W_d(\lambda)$. We shall need the following partial results due to Thrall [20], see also [14]:

$$K[W_d(2)] = \sum_{q \geq 0} W_d(2)^{\otimes_s q} = \sum W_d(2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2, \dots, 2\lambda_d), \tag{10}$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ,

$$W_d(p) \otimes_s W_d(p) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq p/2} W_d(p + 2k, p - 2k), \tag{11}$$

$$W_d(1^p) \otimes_s W_d(1^p) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq p/2} W_d(2^{p-2k}, 1^{4k}). \tag{12}$$

Besides, we shall need the decomposition

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W(2^2) = W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4, 2^2). \tag{13}$$

The easiest way to check (13) is to use (6), hence

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W(2^2) \subset W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4,3,1) \oplus W_3(4, 2^2).$$

Therefore

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W(2^2) = \varepsilon_1 W_3(4^2) \oplus \varepsilon_2 W_3(4,3,1) \oplus \varepsilon_3 W_3(4, 2^2),$$

$\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$. The Hilbert series of $W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W_3(2^2)$ contains the summand $t_1^4 t_2^4$ and $S_{(4^2)}$ is the only Schur function among $S_{(4^2)}, S_{(4,3,1)}, S_{(4,2^2)}$ which contains $t_1^4 t_2^4$. This implies that $W_3(4^2)$ participates in the decomposition of $W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W_3(2^2)$ and $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. Finally, we apply dimension arguments:

$$\dim(W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W(2^2)) = \dim(W_3(4^2)) + \varepsilon_2 \dim(W_3(4,3,1)) + \varepsilon_3 \dim(W_3(4,2^2)).$$

Since

$$\dim(W_3(2^2)) = 6, \quad \dim(W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W(2^2)) = \binom{6+1}{2} = 21,$$

$$\dim(W_3(4^2)) = \dim(W_3(4,3,1)) = 15, \quad \dim(W_3(4,2^2)) = 6,$$

we obtain the only possibility $\varepsilon_2 = 0$, $\varepsilon_3 = 1$.

The action of GL_d on $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$ is inherited by the algebras C_{3d} and C_0. Now we discuss the approach of Abeasis and Pittaluga [1] for the special case $n = 3$. (Pay attention that the partitions in [1] are given in "Francophone" way,

i.e., transposed to ours.) The algebra C_{3d} has a system of generators of degree ≤ 6. Without loss of generality we may assume that this system consists of traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_k})$. Let U_k be the subalgebra of C_{3d} generated by all traces $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_l})$ of degree $l \leq k$. Clearly, U_k is also a GL_d-submodule of C_{3d}. Let $C_{3d}^{(k+1)}$ be the homogeneous component of degree $k+1$ of C_{3d}. Then the intersection $U_k \cap C_{3d}^{(k+1)}$ is a GL_d-module and has a complement G_{k+1} in $C_{3d}^{(k+1)}$, which is the GL_d-module of the "new" generators of degree $k+1$. We may assume that G_{k+1} is a submodule of the GL_d-module spanned by traces of products $\mathrm{tr}(X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_{k+1}})$ of degree $k+1$. The GL_d-module of the generators of C_{3d} is

$$G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6.$$

Proposition 1.3. (Abeasis and Pittaluga [1]) *The GL_d-module G of the generators of C_{3d} decomposes as*

$$G = W(1) \oplus W(2) \oplus W(3) \oplus W(1^3) \oplus W(2^2) \oplus W(2,1^2)$$
$$\oplus W(3,1^2) \oplus W(2^2,1) \oplus W(1^5) \oplus W(3^2) \oplus W(3,1^3).$$

Each module $W(\lambda) \subset G$ is generated by the "canonical" highest weight vector $\mathrm{tr}(w_\lambda(X_1,\ldots,X_d))$, where w_λ is given in (4).

Corollary 1.4. *The numbers g_k of generators of degree $k \leq 6$ in any homogeneous minimal system of generators of C_{3d} are*

$$g_1 = d, g_2 = \frac{1}{2}(d+1)d, g_3 = \frac{1}{3}d(d^2+2), g_4 = \frac{1}{24}(d+1)d(d-1)(5d-6),$$

$$g_5 = \frac{1}{30}d(d-1)(d-2)(3d^2+4d+6), g_6 = \frac{1}{48}(d+2)(d+1)d(d-1)(d^2-3d+4).$$

The total number of generators is

$$g = g(d) = \frac{1}{240}d(5d^5+19d^4-5d^3+65d^2+636)$$

Proof. The number g_k is equal to the dimension of the GL_d-submodule G_k of the GL_d-module G of generators of C_{3d}. Applying the formula (5) we obtain that the dimensions of the GL_d-modules

$$W(1), W(2), W(3), W(1^3), W(2^2), W(2,1^2),$$
$$W(3,1^2), W(2^2,1), W(1^5), W(3^2), W(3,1^3).$$

are, respectively,

$$d, \binom{d+1}{2}, \binom{d+2}{3}, \binom{d}{3}, \frac{d}{2}\binom{d+1}{3}, 3\binom{d+1}{4},$$
$$6\binom{d+2}{5}, d\binom{d+1}{4}, \binom{d}{5}, 3\binom{d+2}{4}\binom{d+1}{2}, 10\binom{d+2}{6}.$$

This easily implies the results, because

$$\dim(G_3) = \dim(W(3)) + \dim(W(1^3)), \dim(G_4) = \dim(W(2^2)) + \dim(W(2,1^2)),$$
$$\dim(G_5) = \dim(W(3,1^2)) + \dim(W(2^2,1)) + \dim(W(1^5)),$$
$$\dim(G_6) = \dim(W(3^2)) + \dim(W(3,1^3)),$$

and $g = g_1 + g_2 + \cdots + g_6$. □

In the sequel we shall need the Hilbert series of C_{33} calculated by Berele and Stembridge [5]:

$$H(C_{33}, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{p(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{q(t_1, t_2, t_3)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p = 1 &- e_2 + e_3 + e_1e_3 + e_2^2 + e_1^2e_3 - e_2e_3 - 2e_1e_2e_3 + e_3^2 + e_2^2e_3 \\ &- e_1^2e_2e_3 + 2e_1^2e_3^2 + e_1^3e_3^2 + e_2^2e_3^2 - e_1^2e_2e_3^2 - e_1e_3^3 - 2e_1e_2^2e_3^2 \\ &+ 2e_2e_2e_3^3 - e_2^3e_3^2 + e_1^3e_3^3 + 2e_1^2e_2e_3^3 - 2e_1e_3^4 - e_1^2e_3^4 + e_1e_2^2e_3^3 \\ &+ e_2e_3^4 - e_2^3e_3^3 - 2e_2^2e_3^5 + e_1e_2^2e_3^4 + 2e_1e_2e_3^5 - e_3^6 - e_2^2e_3^5 \\ &+ e_1e_3^6 - e_2e_3^6 - e_1^2e_3^6 - e_3^7 + e_1e_3^7 - e_3^8, \end{aligned}$$

$$q = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3}(1-t_i)(1-t_i^2)(1-t_i^3)\right)\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3}(1-t_it_j)^2(1-t_i^2t_j)(1-t_it_j^2)\right)(1-t_1t_2t_3),$$

and

$$e_1 = t_1 + t_2 + t_3, \quad e_2 = t_1t_2 + t_1t_3 + t_2t_3, \quad e_3 = t_1t_2t_3$$

are the elementary symmetric polynomials in three variables. Since the Hilbert series of the tensor product is equal to the product of the Hilbert series of the factors, and

$$H(K[\mathrm{tr}(X_1), \dots, \mathrm{tr}(X_d)], t_1, \dots, t_d) = \frac{1}{(1-t_1)\cdots(1-t_d)},$$

(1) implies that

$$H(C_{33}, t_1, t_2) = \frac{H(C_0, t_1, t_2, t_3)}{(1-t_1)(1-t_2)(1-t_3)}.$$

In this way, for $d = 3$,

$$H(C_0, t_1, t_2, t_3) = (1-t_1)(1-t_2)(1-t_3)H(C_{33}, t_1, t_2). \tag{14}$$

2. The symmetric algebra of the generators

We consider the symmetric algebra

$$S = K[G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6]$$

of the GL_d-module of the generators of the algebra C_0. Clearly, the grading and the GL_d-module structure of $G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6$ induce a grading and the structure of a GL_d-module also on S. The defining relations of the algebra C_0 are in the square of the augmentation ideal $\omega(S)$ of S. Since we are interested in the defining relations of degree 7 for C_0 for any $d \ge 3$ and of degree 8 for $d = 3$, we shall decompose the homogeneous components of degree 7, respectively, 8 of the ideal $\omega^2(S)$ into a sum of irreducible GL_d-, respectively, GL_3-modules. Then we shall find explicit generators of those irreducible components which may give rise to relations.

Lemma 2.1. *The following GL_d-module isomorphisms hold:*

$$W(2) \otimes_s W(2) \cong W(4) \oplus W(2^2), \tag{15}$$

$$W(3) \otimes W(2) \cong W(5) \oplus W(4,1) \oplus W(3,2), \tag{16}$$

$$W(1^3) \otimes W(2) \cong W(3,1^2) \oplus W(2,1^3), \tag{17}$$

$$W(2^2) \otimes W(2) \cong W(4,2) \oplus W(3,2,1) \oplus W(2^3), \tag{18}$$

$$W(2,1^2)\otimes W(2)\cong W(4,1^2)\oplus W(3,2,1)\oplus W(3,1^3)\oplus W(2^2,1^2), \tag{19}$$

$$W(3)\otimes_s W(3)\cong W(6)\oplus W(4,2), \tag{20}$$

$$W(3)\otimes W(1^3)\cong W(4,1^2)\oplus W(3,1^3), \tag{21}$$

$$W(1^3)\otimes_s W(1^3)\cong W(2^3)\oplus W(2,1^4), \tag{22}$$

$$W(2)\otimes_s W(2)\otimes_s W(2)\cong W(6)\oplus W(4,2)\oplus W(2^3), \tag{23}$$

$$W(3,1^2)\otimes W(2)\cong W(5,1^2)\oplus W(4,2,1)\oplus W(4,1^3)\oplus W(3^2,1)\oplus W(3,2,1^2), \tag{24}$$

$$W(2^2,1)\otimes W(2)\cong W(4,2,1)\oplus W(3,2^2)\oplus W(3,2,1^2)\oplus W(2^3,1), \tag{25}$$

$$W(1^5)\otimes W(2)\cong W(3,1^4)\oplus W(2,1^5), \tag{26}$$

$$W(2^2)\otimes W(3)\cong W(5,2)\oplus W(4,2,1)\oplus W(3,2^2), \tag{27}$$

$$W(2^2)\otimes W(1^3)\cong W(3^2,1)\oplus W(3,2,1^2)\oplus W(2^2,1^3), \tag{28}$$

$$W(2,1^2)\otimes W(3)\cong W(5,1^2)\oplus W(4,2,1)\oplus W(4,1^3)\oplus W(3,2,1^2), \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W(2,1^2)\otimes W(1^3)&\cong W(3,2^2)\oplus W(3,2,1^2)\\ &\oplus W(3,1^4)\oplus W(2^3,1)\oplus W(2^2,1^3)\oplus W(2,1^5), \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W(3)\otimes(W(2)\otimes_s W(2))&\cong W(7)\oplus W(6,1)\\ &\oplus 2W(5,2)\oplus W(4,3)\oplus W(4,2,1)\oplus W(3,2^2), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$W(1^3)\otimes(W(2)\otimes_s W(2))\cong W(5,1^2)\oplus W(4,1^3)\oplus W(3^2,1)\oplus W(2^2,1^3). \tag{32}$$

Proof. Equations (20), (22) are partial cases of (10), (11), respectively; (15) and (23) follow from (12). Equations (16), (17), (18), (19), (21), (24), (25), (26), (27), and (29) are obtained from (7); (28) and (30) follow from (8). Finally, (31) and (32) are calculated applying, respectively, (7) and (8) to (15). □

Proposition 2.2. *The homogeneous components $(\omega^2(S))^{(k)}$ of degree $k\leq 7$ of the square $\omega^2(S)$ of the augmentation ideal of the symmetric algebra of $G_2\oplus\cdots\oplus G_6$ decomposes as*

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(4)}=W(4)\oplus W(2^2),$$

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(5)}=W(5)\oplus W(4,1)\oplus W(3,2)\oplus W(3,1^2)\oplus W(2,1^3),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^2(S))^{(6)}&=2W(6)\oplus 3W(4,2)\oplus 2W(4,1^2)\\ &\oplus 2W(3,2,1)\oplus 2W(3,1^3)\oplus 3W(2^3)\oplus W(2^2,1^2)\oplus W(2,1^4), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^2(S))^{(7)}&=W(7)\oplus W(6,1)\oplus 3W(5,2)\oplus 3W(5,1^2)\\ &\oplus W(4,3)\oplus 5W(4,2,1)\oplus 3W(4,1^3)\oplus 3W(3^2,1)\oplus 4W(3,2^2)\\ &\oplus 6W(3,2,1^2)\oplus 2W(3,1^4)\oplus 2W(2^3,1)\oplus 3W(2^2,1^3)\oplus 2W(2,1^5). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (9), the homogeneous component of degree k of $\omega^2(S)$ has the form

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(k)} = \bigoplus G_2^{\otimes_s q_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes G_6^{\otimes_s q_6}, \quad 2q_2 + \cdots + 6q_6 = k, q_2 + \cdots + q_6 \geq 2.$$

The decomposition of $G_2, \ldots, G_6$ is given in Proposition 1.3. Again, the equality (9) implies that $(\omega^2(S))^{(k)} = 0$ for $k < 4$. For $k = 4$ we use (15) and obtain

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(4)} = G_2 \otimes_s G_2 = W(2) \otimes_s W(2) = W(4) \oplus W(2^2).$$

For $k = 5$, we derive

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(5)} = G_3 \otimes G_2 = (W(3) \oplus W(1^3)) \otimes W(2)$$

and the decomposition follows from (16) and (17). For $k = 6$ we have

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(6)} = G_4 \otimes G_2 \oplus G_3 \otimes_s G_3 \oplus G_2 \otimes_s G_2 \otimes_s G_2$$

and we use the decompositions given in (18) – (23). The decomposition of $(\omega^2(S))^{(7)}$ is obtained in a similar way and makes use of (24) – (32). □

Proposition 2.3. *The following elements of $S = K[G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6]$ are highest weight vectors:*
For $\lambda = (2, 1^3)$:

$$w = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)})) \mathrm{tr}(x_{\sigma(4)} x_1);$$

For $\lambda = (3, 1^3)$:

$$w_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}) x_1 + s_3(x_1, x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}) x_{\sigma(3)}) \mathrm{tr}(x_{\sigma(4)} x_1),$$

$$w_2 = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_{\sigma(1)}) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)})),$$

For $\lambda = (2^2, 1^2)$:

$$w = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4, \tau \in S_2} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma\tau) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}) x_{\tau(1)} + s_3(x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}) x_{\sigma(3)}) \mathrm{tr}(x_{\sigma(4)} x_{\tau(2)}),$$

For $\lambda = (2, 1^4)$:

$$w = \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)})) \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(4)}, x_{\sigma(5)}, x_1)).$$

For $\lambda = (2, 1^3), (2^2, 1^2), (2, 1^4)$, every highest weight vector $w \in W(\lambda) \subset \omega^2(S)$ is equal, up to a multiplicative constant, to the corresponding w. For $\lambda = (3, 1^3)$ the highest weight vectors are linear combinations of w_1 and w_2.

Proof. By Proposition 1.3, the submodules $W(2), W(3), W(1^3), W(2, 1^2)$ of $G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6$ are generated, respectively, by

$$v_1 = \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2), v_2 = \mathrm{tr}(x_1^3), v_3 = \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)), v_4 = \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) x_1).$$

The trace polynomials $\mathrm{tr}(x_1 x_2)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) x_4 + s_3(x_2, x_3, x_4) x_1)$ are linearizations of v_1 and v_4, respectively. Hence the trace polynomials w, w_1, w_2 defined in the proposition belong to the ideal $\omega^2(S)$. They have the necessary skew-symmetries, as the "canonical" highest weight vectors w_λ from (4). Hence all w and w_1, w_2 are highest weight vectors. Clearly, they are nonzero in S and their number coincides with the multiplicities of $W(\lambda)$ in the decomposition of $\omega^2(S)$.

Hence, every highest weight vector $w \in W(\lambda) \subset \omega^2(S)$ can be expressed as their linear combination. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *The following elements of $S = K[G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6]$ are highest weight vectors:*
For $\lambda = (4, 1^3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= (\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_1x_4+x_4x_1)) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)(x_1x_3+x_3x_1))\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1)) + 3\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\\
&+5(-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)),\\
w_2 &= (\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_4) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_3) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_2)\\
&+3\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3) + 4(-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_4)\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)),\\
w_3 &= (\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = (3, 2^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_3} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)})\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_{\sigma(3)}),\\
w_2 &= \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)),\\
w_3 &= \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3^2) + \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3]^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2) + \mathrm{tr}([x_2,x_3]^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\\
&-\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2))\\
&+2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3) - 2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3][x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2),\\
w_4 &= \mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)(\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2) - \mathrm{tr}^2(x_2x_3)) + \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2) - \mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_3))\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2) - \mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2))\\
&+2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2) + \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3))\\
&+2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2) + \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3))\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2))(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3) + \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = (3, 2, 1^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= (\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_2x_4+x_4x_2)) - \mathrm{tr}((s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)(x_2x_3+x_3x_2))\\
&+4\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1)) + 2\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_2^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\\
&+(-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_1x_4+x_4x_1)) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)(x_1x_3+x_3x_1))\\
&-6\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1)) - 8\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\\
&+5(-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3))\\
&+10(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)),\\
w_2 &= \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)(-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_2,x_4]) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2])\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_2,x_3]) + 3\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2]))\\
&+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_1,x_4]) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2])\\
&-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_1,x_3]) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2]))\\
&+3\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_1,x_2]) - 3\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_1,x_2]),
\end{aligned}$$

$$w_3 = \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)) - \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4))$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_4])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)),$$
$$w_4 = -2\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3) + 2(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_2)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2) - 2\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)$$
$$+2\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_4) - 2\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_4+x_4x_2)),$$
$$w_5 = \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)),$$
$$w_6 = (\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2) - \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)^2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4))$$
$$+(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3) + \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4))$$
$$+(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4) - \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)).$$

For $\lambda = (3,1^4)$*:*

$$w_1 = \mathrm{tr}(s_5(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2),$$
$$w_2 = \sum_{\sigma\in S_5} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_{\sigma(2)},x_{\sigma(3)})x_1)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_{\sigma(4)},x_{\sigma(5)})), \quad \sigma(1)=1.$$

For $\lambda = (2^3,1)$*:*

$$w_1 = \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)[x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)$$
$$-(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)[x_2,x_3]) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_3]))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)$$
$$+(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_2,x_3]) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2]))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)$$
$$-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)$$
$$-(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_1,x_3]) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)[x_1,x_2]))\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)[x_1,x_2])\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2)$$
$$-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_1,x_2])\mathrm{tr}(x_3x_4),$$
$$w_2 = (-3(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_4) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1)) + \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)x_2)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1)) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)x_3)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)x_1)))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3))$$
$$+4\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_3)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4))$$
$$-4\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4))$$
$$+4\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4)).$$

For $\lambda = (2^2,1^3)$*:*

$$w_1 = (\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_4][x_2,x_5]) + \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_5][x_2,x_4])$$
$$+2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_4,x_5]) - 2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_5][x_2,x_4]))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3))$$
$$+(-\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3][x_2,x_5]) - \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_5][x_2,x_3]) - 2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_3,x_5])$$
$$+2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_5][x_2,x_3]))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4)) + (\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3][x_2,x_4])+$$
$$\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_4][x_2,x_3]) + 2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4])-$$
$$2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_4][x_2,x_3]))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_5))$$
$$+3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_5])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4)) - 3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_4])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_5))$$
$$+3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_4,x_5)) - 3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_5])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4))$$
$$+3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_4])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_5)) - 3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_4,x_5))$$
$$+3\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_3,x_4,x_5)),$$
$$w_2 = \sum_{\sigma\in S_5}\sum_{\tau\in S_2} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma\tau)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\tau(1)},x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)}))(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(3)},x_{\sigma(4)},x_{\sigma(5)})x_{\tau(2)})$$

$$+\sum_{\sigma\in S_5}\sum_{\tau\in S_2}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma\tau)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\tau(1)},x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)}))(\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\tau(2)},x_{\sigma(3)},x_{\sigma(4)})x_{\sigma(5)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}w_3 &= \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_5)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_4))(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_5)+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_5))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_4))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_5)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_3,x_5))(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4)+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_4,x_5))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_4))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_5)-\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_3,x_5))(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_4)+\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_4)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_2,x_4,x_5))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)-\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2))\\ &+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_3,x_4,x_5))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)^2).\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda=(2,1^5)$*:*

$$w_1=\sum_{\sigma\in S_6}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_5(x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)},x_{\sigma(3)},x_{\sigma(4)},x_{\sigma(5)}))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_{\sigma(6)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}w_2 &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_6}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)},x_{\sigma(3)})x_1))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(4)},x_{\sigma(5)},x_{\sigma(6)}))\\ &+\sum_{\sigma\in S_6}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)})x_{\sigma(3)}))\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_{\sigma(4)},x_{\sigma(5)},x_{\sigma(6)})).\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda=(4,1^3),(3,2^2),(3,2,1^2),(3,1^4),(2^3,1),(2^2,1^3),(2,1^5)$*, every highest weight vector* $w\in W(\lambda)\subset\omega^2(S)$ *is equal to a linear combination of* w_i*.*

Proof. The computations are similar to those in the proof of Proposition 2.3. For a fixed $\lambda\vdash 7$, the number of w_i can be calculated from the equations (24) – (32) and Proposition 2.2. The concrete form of w_i is found using Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2. We shall demonstrate the process in one case only.

Let $\lambda=(3,2^2)$. Consider the tensor product $W(3)\otimes(W(2)\otimes_s W(2))\subset\omega^2(S)$. The equation (31) gives that the module $W(3,2^2)$ participates with multiplicity 1. More precisely, applying (7) to (15), we see that $W(3,2^2)$ appears as a submodule of the component $W(3)\otimes W(2^2)$ of $W(3)\otimes(W(2)\otimes_s W(2))$. Since $W(3)\subset G_3$ and $W(2)=G_2$ are generated by $\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)$, respectively, Lemma 1.2 gives that they have bases

$$\{\mathrm{tr}(x_i^3),\mathrm{tr}(x_i^2x_j),i\neq j,\quad \mathrm{tr}(x_i(x_jx_k+x_kx_j)),i<j<k\},$$

$$\{\mathrm{tr}(x_i^2),\mathrm{tr}(x_ix_j),\quad i\neq j\}.$$

The submodule $W(2^2)$ of $W(2)\otimes_s W(2)$ is generated by

$$u(x_1,x_2)=\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2).$$

(Direct verification shows that $u(x_1,x_2+x_1)=u(x_1,x_2)$ and we apply Lemma 1.1.) Its partial linearization in x_2 is

$$v(x_1,x_2,x_3)=\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3).$$

We are looking for an element $w = w(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in $W(3) \otimes W(2^2) \subset W(3) \otimes (W(2) \otimes_s W(2))$ which is homogeneous of multidegree $(3, 2^2)$ and satisfies the conditions $\Delta_{21}(w) = \Delta_{31}(w) = \Delta_{32}(w) = 0$, where Δ_{ij} is the derivation from Lemma 1.1. All such elements are of the form

$$w = \zeta_1 \mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)u(x_2, x_3) + \zeta_2 \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_2)v(x_3, x_1, x_2) + \zeta_3 \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_3)v(x_2, x_1, x_3)$$
$$+\zeta_4 \mathrm{tr}(x_1 x_2^2)u(x_1, x_3) + \zeta_5 \mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2))v(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \zeta_6 \mathrm{tr}(x_1 x_3^2)u(x_1, x_2).$$

Direct verifications show that

$$\Delta_{21}(w) = (2\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)v(x_3, x_1, x_2)$$
$$+(\zeta_2 + 2\zeta_4)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_2)u(x_1, x_3) + (-\zeta_3 + 2\zeta_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_3)v(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$
$$\Delta_{31}(w) = (2\zeta_1 + \zeta_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)v(x_2, x_1, x_3)$$
$$+(-\zeta_2 + 2\zeta_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_2)v(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (\zeta_3 + 2\zeta_6)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_3)u(x_1, x_2),$$
$$\Delta_{32}(w) = (-\zeta_2 + \zeta_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2 x_2)v(x_2, x_1, x_3)$$
$$+2(\zeta_4 + \zeta_5)\mathrm{tr}(x_1 x_2^2)v(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (\zeta_5 + \zeta_6)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2))u(x_1, x_2).$$

Hence we obtain the homogeneous linear system

$$2\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 = \zeta_2 + 2\zeta_4 = -\zeta_3 + 2\zeta_5 = 0,$$
$$2\zeta_1 + \zeta_3 = -\zeta_2 + 2\zeta_5 = \zeta_3 + 2\zeta_6 = 0,$$
$$-\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = +2(\zeta_4 + \zeta_5) = \zeta_5 + \zeta_6 = 0.$$

Up to a multiplicative constant, the only solution of the system is

$$\zeta_1 = \zeta_4 = \zeta_6 = 1, \quad \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = -2, \quad \zeta_5 = -1,$$

which is equal to w_4. In practice, in most of the cases we have used a slightly different algorithm to determine w_i. We have considered w_i with unknown coefficients. Then we have evaluated it in the trace algebra C_{3d} instead of in the symmetric algebra S, in order to use the programs which we already had. Requiring that

$$g_{kl}w(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = w(x_1, \ldots, x_d), \quad 1 \le k < l \le d,$$

we have obtained the possible candidates for w_i. Since the number of candidates has coincided with the number predicted in Proposition 2.2, we have concluded that the w_i's really are the needed highest weight vectors. □

Proposition 2.5. *For $d = 3$, the homogeneous component $(\omega^2(S))^{(8)}$ of degree 8 of the square $\omega^2(S)$ of the augmentation ideal of the symmetric algebra of $G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6$ decomposes as*

$$(\omega^2(S))^{(8)} = 2W_3(8) \oplus W_3(7, 1) \oplus 4W_3(6, 2) \oplus 3W_3(6, 1^2)$$
$$\oplus 2W_3(5, 3) \oplus 6W_3(5, 2, 1) \oplus 4W_3(4^2) \oplus 7W_3(4, 3, 1) \oplus 9W_3(4, 2^2) \oplus 4W_3(3^2, 2).$$

Proof. The considerations are similar to those in the proof of Proposition 2.2 and involves the eqtions

$$W_3(3^2) \otimes W_3(2) \cong W_3(5, 3) \oplus W_3(4, 3, 1) \oplus W_3(3^2, 2),$$
$$W_3(3, 1^2) \otimes W_3(3) \cong W_3(6, 1^2) \oplus W_3(5, 2, 1) \oplus W_3(4, 3, 1),$$
$$W_3(3, 1^2) \otimes W_3(1^3) \cong W_3(4, 2^2),$$
$$W_3(2^2, 1) \otimes W_3(3) \cong W_3(5, 2, 1) \oplus W_3(4, 2^2),$$
$$W_3(2^2, 1) \otimes W_3(1^3) \cong W_3(3^2, 2),$$
$$W_3(2^2) \otimes_s W_3(2^2) \cong W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4, 2^2),$$

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes W_3(2,1^2) \cong W_3(4,3,1) \oplus W_3(3^2,2),$$
$$W_3(2,1^2) \otimes_s W_3(2,1^2) \cong W_3(4,2^2),$$
$$W_3(2^2) \otimes (W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2)) \cong W_3(6,2)$$
$$\oplus W_3(5,2,1) \oplus W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4,3,1) \oplus 2W_3(4,2^2),$$
$$W_3(2,1^2) \otimes (W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2)) \cong W_3(6,1^2) \oplus W_3(5,2,1) \oplus W_3(4,3,1) \oplus W_3(3^2,2),$$
$$(W_3(3) \otimes_s W_3(3)) \otimes W_3(2) \cong W_3(8)$$
$$\oplus W_3(7,1) \oplus 2W_3(6,2) \oplus W_3(5,3) \oplus W_3(5,2,1)$$
$$\oplus W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4,3,1) \oplus W_3(4,2^2),$$
$$(W_3(3) \otimes W_3(1^3)) \otimes W_3(2) \cong W_3(6,1^2) \oplus W_3(5,2,1) \oplus W_3(4,3,1),$$
$$(W_3(1^3) \otimes_s W_3(1^3)) \otimes W_3(2) \cong W_3(4,2^2),$$
$$W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2) \cong W_3(8) \oplus W_3(6,2) \oplus W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4,2^2).$$

The proof of these equations uses the Young rule (7) and (8), the formulas (10) – (12), (6), and (13). For example, by (10), $W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2) \cong W_3(4) \oplus W_3(2^2)$. Hence

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes (W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2)) \cong W_3(2^2) \otimes (W_3(4) \oplus W_3(2^2)),$$

by (7)

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes W_3(4) \cong W_3(6,2) \oplus W_3(5,2,1) \oplus W_3(4,2^2),$$

by (6)

$$W_3(2^2) \otimes W_3(2^2) \cong W_3(4^2) \oplus W_3(4,3,1) \oplus W_3(4,2^2),$$

and we obtain the decomposition for $W_3(2^2) \otimes (W_3(2) \otimes_s W_3(2))$. In few cases we use also that

$$W_d(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_d+1) \cong W_d(1^d) \otimes W_d(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_d).$$

For example,

$$W_3(2,1^2) \otimes_s W_3(2,1^2) \cong (W_3(1^3) \otimes W_3(1)) \otimes_s (W_3(1^3) \otimes W_3(1))$$
$$= (W_3(1^3) \otimes W_3(1^3)) \otimes (W_3(1) \otimes_s W_3(1)) \cong W_3(2^3) \otimes W_3(2) \cong W_3(4,2^2).$$

□

Proposition 2.6. *For $d = 3$, the following elements of $S = K[G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6]$ are highest weight vectors:*
For $\lambda = (4,3,1)$:

$$w_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2 x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)}) \mathrm{tr}(x_1 x_{\sigma(3)}),$$

$$w_2 = -\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_1x_2+x_2x_1)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2) - \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3),$$
$$w_3 = \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1),$$
$$w_4 = \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)$$
$$-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2)) - \mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3) - \mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)),$$
$$w_5 = \mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2) - \mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2)),$$
$$w_6 = (\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2x_3) - \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^3))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2) + (-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2x_3)$$
$$-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)) + 3\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)$$
$$+(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^3) - \mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)+$$
$$(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)) - 2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3))\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)$$

$$+(-2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)+2\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1^2x_2))\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3),$$
$$w_7=\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3))(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)-2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)).$$

For $\lambda=(4,2^2)$*:*

$$w_1=\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)),$$
$$w_2=\sum_{\sigma\in S_3}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(1)})\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_{\sigma(3)}),$$
$$w_3=\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3]^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3]),$$
$$w_4=\mathrm{tr}^2(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1),$$
$$w_5=\mathrm{tr}([x_2,x_3]^2)\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1^2)-2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3][x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)$$
$$+2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)+\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3]^2)\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2)$$
$$-2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)+\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_3),$$
$$w_6=\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3]^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2))$$
$$-2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])*(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3))$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_3)),$$
$$w_7=(-4\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3^2)+\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)$$
$$+4(2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3^2)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)$$
$$+4(2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2)))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)$$
$$+4(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3^2)+\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1^2x_3))\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)$$
$$+4(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1(x_2x_3+x_3x_2))-2\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2x_3))\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)$$
$$+4(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2^2)+\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1^2x_2))\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2),$$
$$w_8=\mathrm{tr}^2(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2),$$
$$w_9=(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)(\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_2x_3))$$
$$-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2)+2\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2))\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2).$$

For $\lambda=(3^2,2)$*:*

$$w_1=-\mathrm{tr}([x_2,x_3]^2[x_1,x_2])\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]([x_1,x_3][x_2,x_3]+[x_2,x_3][x_1,x_3]))\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)$$
$$-2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2[x_2,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)-\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_3]^2[x_1,x_2])\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)$$
$$+2\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2[x_1,x_3])\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^3)\mathrm{tr}(x_3^2),$$
$$w_2=\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)[x_1,x_2])\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)),$$
$$w_3=\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_2,x_3])-\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2)\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2][x_1,x_3])$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_3)\mathrm{tr}([x_1,x_2]^2),$$
$$w_4=\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_1)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)-\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2))$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2)(-\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2x_3)+\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_2)\mathrm{tr}(x_1x_3))$$
$$+\mathrm{tr}(s_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)x_3)(\mathrm{tr}(x_1^2)\mathrm{tr}(x_2^2)-\mathrm{tr}^2(x_1x_2)).$$

In each of the cases, every highest weight vector $w\in W_3(\lambda)\subset\omega^2(S)$ *is equal to a linear combination of* w_i*.*

Proof. The considerations use the decomposition of $(\omega^2(S))^{(8)}$ given in Proposition 2.5. The highest weight vectors have been found as those in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4. Of course, if we already know the explicit form of the (candidates for) highest weight vectors w_i, we can check that they are linearly independent in $\omega^2(S)$ and satisfy the requirements of Lemma 1.1. Since for each λ the number of the highest weight vectors w_i coincides with the multiplicity of $W_3(\lambda) \subset \omega^2(S)$ from Proposition 2.5, we conclude that every highest weight vector $w \in W_3(\lambda) \subset \omega^2(S)$ is equal to a linear combination of w_i. □

Finally, we shall calculate the Hilbert series of the kernel of the natural homomorphism $S \to C_0$ for $d = 3$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $d = 3$ and let J be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $S \to C_0$. Let the Hilbert series of J be*

$$H(J, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(t_1, t_2, t_3),$$

where h_k is the homogeneous component of degree k of $H(J, t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Then $h_k = 0$ for $k \leq 6$,

$$h_7 = S_{(3,2^2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3),$$

$$h_8 = S_{(4,3,1)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) + 2S_{(4,2^2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) + S_{(3^2,2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

Proof. Clearly, the Hilbert series of the kernel J is equal to the difference of the Hilbert series of S and C_0. For $d = 3$ we have that

$$G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6 = W(2) \oplus W(3) \oplus W(1^3)$$

$$\oplus W(2^2) \oplus W(2, 1^2) \oplus W(3, 1^2) \oplus W(2^2, 1) \oplus W(3^2)$$

and its Hilbert series is

$$H(G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum a_{k_1 k_2 k_3} t_1^{k_1} t_2^{k_2} t_3^{k_3}$$

$$= S_{(2)} + S_{(3)} + S_{(1^3)} + S_{(2^2)} + S_{(2,1^2)} + S_{(3,1^2)} + S_{(2^2,1)} + S_{(3^2)}.$$

The Hilbert series of the symmetric algebra is

$$H(S, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \prod \frac{1}{(1 - t_1^{k_1} t_2^{k_2} t_3^{k_3})^{a_{k_1 k_2 k_3}}}.$$

Now the result follows by evaluation of the coefficients $a_{k_1 k_2 k_3}$ and expanding the first several homogeneous components of the difference of the Hilbert series of S and of the Hilbert series of C_0, which is given in (14). □

Corollary 2.8. *For $d = 3$, the algebra C_0 has a minimal system of defining relations with the property that the relations of degree 7 and 8 form GL_3-modules isomorphic, respectively, to $W_3(3, 2^2)$ and $W_3(4, 3, 1) + 2W_3(4, 2^2) + W_3(3^2, 2)$.*

Proof. If J is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $S \to C_0$, then a minimal homogeneous system of generators of J is obtained as a factor space of J modulo $J\omega(S)$. Since $\omega(S)$ contains no homogeneous elements of degree 1, we obtain that the multihomogeneous components of total degree 7 and 8 of J and $J/J\omega(S)$ are of the same dimension. Hence $J^{(7)}$ and $J^{(8)}$ are isomorphic as GL_3-modules to $(J/J\omega(S))^{(7)}$ and $(J/J\omega(S))^{(8)}$, respectively, and the conclusion follows from the expressions of h_7 and h_8 given in Lemma 2.7. □

3. Main results

Now we present the explicit defining relations of degree 7 of the algebra C_{3d} for any $d \geq 3$ and of degree 8 for the algebra C_{33}, with respect to the generators of Abeasis and Pittaluga [1]. As we already mentioned, by (1) it is sufficient to give the defining relations of the algebra C_0 generated by traces $\mathrm{tr}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})$ of products of the traceless matrices x_i. As in the previous sections, we denote by S the symmetric algebra of the GL_d-module $G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_6$ of generators of C_0 and call defining relations of C_0 the expressions $f = 0$, where f is an element of the kernel J of the natural homomorphisms $S \to C_0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $d \geq 3$. The algebra C_0 does not have any defining relations of degree ≤ 6. The GL_d-module structure of the homogeneous defining relations of degree 7 of C_0, i.e., of the component $J^{(7)}$ in S is*

$$J^{(7)} = W_d(4,1^3) \oplus W_d(3,2^2) \oplus W_d(3,2,1^2) \oplus W_d(2^3,1) \oplus W_d(2^2,1^3) \oplus W_d(2,1^5).$$

In the notation of Proposition 2.4, the defining relations of C_0 which are highest weight vectors are:

For $\lambda = (4,1^3)$:

$$12w_1 - 15w_2 - 20w_3 = 0; \tag{33}$$

For $\lambda = (3,2^2)$:

$$2w_1 - w_2 + 2w_3 = 0.$$

For $\lambda = (3,2,1^2)$:

$$-6w_1 + 10w_3 - 15w_4 + 40w_6 = 0.$$

For $\lambda = (2^3,1)$:

$$12w_1 + w_2 = 0.$$

For $\lambda = (2^2,1^3)$:

$$w_2 = 0.$$

For $\lambda = (2,1^5)$:

$$2w_1 - 5w_2 = 0.$$

Proof. In all cases the idea is the same. We already know that there are no relations of degree ≤ 11 for $d = 2$ and the only GL_3-module of relations is isomorphic to $W_3(3,2^2)$. Hence, we have to consider the cases in Proposition 2.4 only.

We shall consider in detail the case $\lambda = (4,1^3)$. The possible relations $w = 0$ are linear combinations of w_1, w_2, w_3. We assume that

$$w = \xi_1 w_1 + \xi_2 w_2 + \xi_3 w_3 = 0 \tag{34}$$

and evaluate w on the traceless matrices (2) and (3). The coefficients of the monomials $(x_{11}^{(1)})^4 x_{12}^{(2)} x_{22}^{(3)} x_{21}^{(4)}$ and $(x_{11}^{(1)})^4 x_{13}^{(2)} x_{32}^{(3)} x_{21}^{(4)}$ are, respectively, $20\xi_1 - 8\xi_2 + 18\xi_3$ and $20\xi_1 + 12\xi_3$. Hence the equation (34) implies that

$$20\xi_1 - 8\xi_2 + 18\xi_3 = 20\xi_1 + 12\xi_3 = 0.$$

Up to a multiplicative constant, the only solution of this system is

$$\xi_1 = 12, \quad \xi_2 = -15, \quad \xi_3 = -20.$$

Hence, there is only one possible candidate for a defining relation which is a highest weight vector of some $W_d(4,1^3)$. We evaluate once again (34) on (2) and (3) for these values of ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 and obtain that $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$. Hence the multiplicity of $W_d(4,1^3)$ in J is equal to 1 and the corresponding relation is (33). We want to

mention that the case $\lambda = (3, 1^4)$ does not participate in the statement of the theorem, because the multiplicity of $W_d(3, 1^4)$ in J is 0. □

Corollary 3.2. *The dimension of the defining relations of degree* 7 *of the algebra* C_{3d} *is equal to*

$$r_7 = r_7(d) = \frac{2}{7!}(d+1)d(d-1)(d-2)(41d^3 - 86d^2 + 114d - 360).$$

Proof. Since C_{3d} does not satisfy relations of degree ≤ 6, and the constants are the only elements of degree 0 in $K[\mathrm{tr}(X_1), \ldots, \mathrm{tr}(X_d)]$, the dimension of the relations of degree 7 of C_{3d} coincides with this dimension in C_0. Now the proof is complete using Theorem 3.1 and the dimension formula (5) for $W_d(\lambda)$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $d = 3$. *The* GL_d*-module structure of the homogeneous component* $J^{(8)}$ *of degree* 8 *in* S *is*

$$J^{(8)} = W_3(4, 3, 1) \oplus 2W_3(4, 2^2) \oplus W_3(3, 2^2, 2).$$

In the notation of Proposition 2.6, the defining relations which are highest weight vectors are:
For $\lambda = (4, 3, 1)$*:*

$$-6w_1 - 18w_2 + 3w_3 + 3w_5 - 8w_7 = 0; \tag{35}$$

For $\lambda = (4, 2^2)$*: All nontrivial linear combinations of*

$$w_1 - 15w_2 + 3w_3 + \frac{21}{4}w_4 - \frac{5}{2}w_5 + \frac{5}{2}w_6 - 3w_7 + 2w_9 = 0,$$

$$-36w_2 + 6w_3 + \frac{27}{2}w_4 - 6w_5 + 6w_6 - 9w_7 + w_8 + 6w_9 = 0.$$

For $\lambda = (3^2, 2)$*:*

$$6w_1 + 2w_2 - 3w_3 - 3w_4 = 0.$$

The number r_8 *of the defining relations of degree* 8 *of any homogeneous minimal system of defining relations of the algebra* C_{33} *is equal to* 30.

Proof. The decomposition of $J^{(8)}$ is given in Corollary 2.8. The explicit form of the highest weight vectors is obtained as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The number of defining relations of degree 8 in any homogeneous minimal system of defining relations for C_{33} is equal to the dimension of the relations $J^{(8)}$ of C_0. For the proof that $r_8 = 30$ it is sufficient to use the dimension formula (5) for $W_d(\lambda)$. □

Remark 3.4. Using Lemma 1.2 we can find an explicit basis of the set of defining relations of degree 7 for C_0, $d \geq 3$, and of degree 8 for C_0, $d = 3$.

Acknowledgements

This project was started when the second author visited the University of Palermo. He is very grateful for the hospitality and the creative atmosphere during his stay there.

References

[1] S. Abeasis, M. Pittaluga, *On a minimal set of generators for the invariants of* 3×3 *matrices*, Commun. Algebra **17** (1989), 487-499.

[2] G. Almkvist, W. Dicks, E. Formanek, *Hilbert series of fixed free algebras and noncommutative classical invariant theory*, J. Algebra **93** (1985), 189-214.

[3] H. Aslaksen, V. Drensky, L. Sadikova, *Defining relations of invariants of two* 3×3 *matrices*, J. Algebra **298** (2006), 41-57.

[4] F. Benanti, V. Drensky, *Defining relations of noncommutative trace algebra of two* 3×3 *matrices*, Adv. Appl. Math. **37** (2006), No. 2, 162-182.

[5] A. Berele, J.R. Stembridge, *Denominators for the Poincaré series of invariants of small matrices*, Israel J. Math. **114** (1999), 157-175.

[6] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi, *Young diagrams and determinantal varieties*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 129-165.

[7] D.Z. Djokovic, Poincaré series of some pure and mixed trace algebras of two generic matrices, J. Algebra **309** (2007), No. 1, 654-671.

[8] V. Drensky, *Free Algebras and PI-Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Singapore, 1999.

[9] V. Drensky, *Computing with matrix invariants*, Math. Balk., New Ser. **21** (2007) (to appear).

[10] V. Drensky, E. Formanek, *Polynomial Identity Rings*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics, CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 2004.

[11] V. Drensky, L. Sadikova, *Generators of invariants of two* 4×4 *matrices*, C.R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **59** (2006), No. 5, 477-484.

[12] E. Formanek, *The Polynomial Identities and Invariants of* $n \times n$ *Matrices*, CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math. **78**, Published for the Confer. Board of the Math. Sci. Washington DC, AMS, Providence RI, 1991.

[13] P. Koshlukov, *Polynomial identities for a family of simple Jordan algebras*, Commun. Algebra **16** (1988), 1325-1371.

[14] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press (Clarendon), Oxford, 1979. Second Edition, 1995.

[15] K. Nakamoto, *The structure of the invariant ring of two matrices of degree* 3, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **166** (2002), No. 1-2, 125-148.

[16] C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of* $n \times n$ *matrices*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), 306-381.

[17] Yu.P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **38** (1974), 723-756. Translation: Math. USSR, Izv. **8** (1974), 727-760.

[18] Y. Teranishi, *The ring of invariants of matrices*, Nagoya Math. J. **104** (1986), 149-161.

[19] Y. Teranishi, *Linear diophantine equations and invariant theory of matrices*, "Commut. Algebra and Combinatorics (Kyoto, 1985)", Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **11**, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1987, 259-275.

[20] R.M. Thrall, *On symmetrized Kronecker powers and the structure of the free Lie ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1942), 371-388.

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy

E-mail address: fbenanti@math.unipa.it

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail address: drensky@math.bas.bg